

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/087,208	02/27/2002	Edgardo Laborde	25352-0031	7485	
25213	7590 11/26/2003		EXAMINER		
	HRMAN WHITE & MCA	FORD, JOHN M			
275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD MENLO PARK, CA 94025-3506			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1624		
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/087,20	08	LABORDE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		John M F	ord	1624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fails - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even bly within the statu will apply and wi e, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>152</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 152 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)[objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the fir 7 CFR 1.78. 1 The translation of the foreign language process of the company of the co	ts have beer ts have beer ority docume u (PCT Rule of the certif ic priority un st sentence ovisional app ic priority un	n received. n received in Applica nts have been receive 17.2(a)). ied copies not receive der 35 U.S.C. § 119 of the specification of	tion No yed in this National Stage red. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1624

The claims in the application are claims 1—52.

Rule 141 provides for one invention per application.

There is far more here than can be examined in one application.

Applicants need to pick one set of compounds by electing one value of X, one value of Y, and one value of Z, and one value of Z. Ince that is done for claims 1—35, The claims need be re-written to the invention elected, it is too burdensome for the examiner to examine more here.

MPEP 806.05(h) provides for restricting the method claims out, if it can be established that the compounds may be used for more than one purpose.

Therefore, claims 38-50 and 52 are placed in a different group _ as they allege more than one use of the compounds.

Claims 37 and 51 have additional active ingredients.

The agreement to examine a supportable method of use with the elected compounds is based on their being of the <u>same scope</u>. Once an unknown additional active ingredient is introduced, the classification and search changes, and greatly increases the burden on the examiner.

Once an additional active ingredient is introduced, the method claim is no longer of the same scope as the agreed upon elected compound claim. Therefore, claims 37 and 51 are restricted out as an egregious burden.

This application has been found to contain more than one invention.

Therefore, restriction to one of the following distinct inventions is required:

Application/Control Number: 10/087,208 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

(I) Claims 1—35 drawn to compounds with a variable X, Y and Z, which place the compounds in many, many subclasses in class 544 and class 546. An election of a specific X, a specific Y and a specific Z is required. There is no way to begin, without at least that much. There is too much (See 37 CFR 1.104).

- (II) Claims 36, 38 50 and 52 drawn a composition and multiple methods of use in many, many, different subclasses of class 514, depending on what X, Y and Z, are, specifically. Claim 36 and a supportable method elected from claims 38—50 and 52 will be allowed if it is the same scope as the allowable genus, once an elected compound genus is found allowable.

 Applicants need to elect something believable that does not require considerable proof. Claim 47 is suggested.
- (III) Claims 37 and 51 drawn to unknown additional active ingredient claims, of unknown classification, as the unknown additional active ingredient is not specified.

These distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art, will support separate patents, and will require different fields of search for the respective inventions. Accordingly, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is considered proper; 35 U.S.C. 121; 37 CFR 1.141 and 37 CFR 1.142.

Claim 1 constitutes an improper joinder of inventions as it group's together distinct specific inventions that are distinct and separately classified, and will support separate patents. Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126, provided for this

Application/Control Number: 10/087,208 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

claim structure where there was an emergency engendered need, as the substances were "so closely related that they would not support a series of patents". This is not the case here. Therefore, the instant generic claim constitutes an improper joinder of inventions; Ex parte Reid, 105 U.S.P.Q. 251; In re Winnek, 73 U.S.P.Q. 225; In re Ruzicka, 66 U.S.P.Q. 226.

This application has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is. therefore, requested in promptly correcting any errors of which they may become aware in the specification.

Applicants' response must include a provisional election, even if the requirement be traversed, see 37 CFR 1.143 and 37 CFR 1.144.

John M. Ford:jmr

November 21, 2003

JOHN M. FORD PRIMARY EXAMINER

- ART UNIT